



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/912,685	07/25/2001	Michael A. Epstein	US010339	6976
24737 7.	590 05/12/2005		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INT	ELLECTUAL PROP	MAI, TAN V		
	P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2193	

DATE MAILED: 05/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Astion Commons	09/912,685	EPSTEIN, MICHAEL A.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tan V. Mai	2193			
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 No	ovember 2004.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau	, , , ,				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
•					
Attachmant/al					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	. 5)	atent Application (PTO-152)			
- aper recognition bate					

Application/Control Number: 09/912,685

Art Unit: 2193

1. Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Rejection grounds continue to be set forth in the previous Office action mailed 9/2/04.

2. Applicant's arguments filed on 11-8-04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant, in his remarks, argues that: "[w]ith respect to the observation about the 'decorrelating' step, since it is applied to the **marking signal** that is used to generate the final output signal, the results of the step are in fact used. Similarly, the results of the 'linear feedback shift register' are also used" (emphasis added).

With respect to the argument, the examiner carefully reviews the specification, drawings and the claimed invention. The examiner analyzes amended claim 1 as follow: "[a] method..., comprising the steps of:

deriving from an input signal (input_D of Fig. 3) to a flip-flop (210) a mark signal (LFSR Mark signal)...;

decorrelating said marking signal to noise;

operating said flip-flop (210) in meta-stable state; and

generating a random bit from the **marking signal** (LFSR Mark signal) based on the occurrence of the meta-stable state".

It is clearly that the "<u>decorrelating said marking signal to noise</u>" step is redundant because the other step(s) do NOT use the result of "decorrelating" step. The

Application/Control Number: 09/912,685

Art Unit: 2193

"decorrelating" feature should in a sub-step in "deriving" step. See Fig. 3 & page 9, second complete paragraph for detailing of the claimed invention. It is noted that the "decorrelating" feature is a novel feature of the invention.

- 3. Claims 1-33 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the recorded references do NOT teach or suggest the novel "decorrelating" feature as recited in independent claims.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/912,685

Art Unit: 2193

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan V. Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-3726. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Wed and Fri. from 9:30am to 2:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki, can be reached on (571) 272-3719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is:

Official

(703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Tan V. Mai Primary Examiner

Page 4